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Who are we?

The Economic Development Council of Livingston County (EDCLC) is comprised of a committed group of community leaders from the public and private sectors who come together to achieve county-wide goals for economic development. The EDCLC oversees Ann Arbor SPARK’s work in Livingston County.

Ann Arbor SPARK is a non-profit organization dedicated to the economic prosperity of Washtenaw and Livingston Counties. Ann Arbor SPARK’s expert staff uses their skills and knowledge to attract, develop, strengthen, and invest in driving industries to help the region thrive.

The Workforce Intelligence Network for Southeast Michigan (WIN) helps to cultivate a comprehensive and cohesive talent system to ensure employers’ success. WIN is a partnership of 10 community colleges and 6 Michigan Works! agencies in southeast Michigan.

What are Driving Industries?

Driving industries are businesses that sell goods and services and grow our region’s economy. They create jobs, attract investment, and form businesses clusters that attract other companies to the region. They encompass a broad range of companies and employment opportunities.
The goal of this report is to better understand the economic needs of Livingston County, particularly in the talent and real estate markets. Gathered through gap analysis techniques, the data identify county-wide needs in the markets studied. This data is benchmarked against comparable communities to help us to understand where Livingston County stands regionally and nationally. The purpose of this study is not to market the region or to use data to promote Livingston County. Instead, this information is intended to strengthen the EDCLC’s understanding of where Livingston County stands and what needs can be addressed in order to bolster the County’s economy.

There are three main sections of this report:

**Land Use**
This section of the report provides an analysis of land use within Livingston County to better understand potential barriers to development. It examines the impact that zoning and utilities have on property availability, while providing an in-depth analysis of market demand.

**Talent**
The data and information compiled in this section of the report were selected to better illuminate challenges within the talent market to provide a basis for innovative and data-driven workforce development strategies.

**Benchmarking**
This section of the report makes use of benchmarking techniques to show where Livingston County stands among neighboring communities in the region, and in comparison with a selection of communities across the nation with like-characteristics.
Land Use

Key Takeaways from the Livingston County Land Use Study

1. **Livingston County's greenfield sites are not shovel-ready.** Although Livingston County has an abundance of vacant greenfield sites on the market, lack of access to infrastructure for utilities on these sites hinders them from being developed into job-creating projects. Without utility serviced sites, demand for new construction remains low in the county.

   *Recommendation: Municipalities should take a prudent approach to exploring whether new infrastructure would promote development and evaluate the costs and benefits.*

2. **Livingston County has very little land zoned for commercial or industrial development.** Although commercial and industrial uses create jobs and yield significant property tax revenue, most of the county’s land is zoned for residential or agricultural uses.

   *Recommendation: In order to attract projects with a significant economic impact, greenfield sites that are served by utilities and close to highways should be zoned for commercial or industrial development.*

3. **The inventory of both available land and available buildings in Livingston County is smaller than what is typically desirable for large job-creating projects.**

   *Recommendation: Municipalities should be strategic about identifying potential sites for land assembly and explore strategies to lower barriers for assembling land, and continue to work with Ann Arbor SPARK to keep these sites on the radar of national site selectors via responses to RFP's from the Michigan Economic Development Corporation and the Detroit Regional Chamber.*
Land Use
Commercial & Industrial Property Supply and Demand

Livingston County is home to a range of settings, from walkable downtowns, to suburban residential developments, to rural communities and farms. Given its range of character, the county is amenable to new development while maintaining its natural and agricultural assets. Recent growth has included significant multi-parcel industrial operations, small mom-and-pop retail storefronts, single family home and condo developments, healthcare facilities, and more. While growth within the county is projected to continue, barriers stand in the way of meeting market demand.

In the following analysis we seek to better understand what real estate assets exist within the county and how they can effectively leverage development. The study looks at indicators of supply and demand for different land uses in order to quantify the gap. In addition, survey data was used to gain a deeper, more nuanced understanding of the local market.

Supply

In the following sections of this report, we seek to show a clear picture of real property assets that exist within Livingston County today. In the CPIX Analysis we explore the current property listings on CPIX (Michigan’s commercial real estate listing site) to provide a relevant sample of properties that are on the market in Livingston County and the features and amenities that these properties have to offer. Next, the Water, Sewage, and Broadband Access Analyses delve into access and availability of utilities for sites within the county. Ultimately, understanding what real estate assets Livingston County has will help to shed light on what real estate assets Livingston County needs to meet the current market demand.
Land Use

CPIX Analysis - May 2017

CPIX, Michigan’s leading commercial property database, has 345 listings in Livingston County. This section of the report breaks down the listings to identify available properties, and factors such as zoning, utility access, property size, and price.

ALL CPIX LISTINGS

There are 345 listings on CPIX located in Livingston County, of which 56.5% are for sale and 43.8% are for rent. The listings are clustered in close proximity of Grand River Avenue and US 23, with a few scattered sites throughout the county. Most of the listings (42%) are for vacant land, which is unsurprising given the county’s large stock of undeveloped land. Other commonly posted property types include retail/commercial, office, and industrial. The average building size is 34,696 SF and the average site acreage is 22.6 acres.

**Average Sale Price**
- Per Square Foot (Building): $129.77
- Per Acre (Site): $167K

**Average Lease Price**
- Per Square Foot Annually: $11.66

**CPIX Listings by Property Type**

- Vacant Land: 42%
- Office: 17%
- Retail-Commercial: 18%
- Shopping Center: 8%
- Special Purpose: 1%
- Mixed Use: 0%
- Industrial: 13%
- Farm: 1%

**CPIX Utility Access**

Most of the sites that are posted on CPIX are serviced by utilities (71% access water and 88% access sewage) and access Broadband for Business (88%).

- 71% Access Water Utilities
- 88% Access Sewage
- 88% Access Broadband Business

Source: CPIX
GIS: Livingston County Information Technology and GIS, Ann Arbor SPARK
Vacant Land

Vacant land makes up 42% of all CPIX postings in Livingston County. The average listing price for vacant sites is $167,000 per acre. Sites range from less than half an acre to 981 acres of land, with a median area of 6.1 acres. Forty percent of all vacant sites are located in commercial zoning districts; however, these sites tend to be on the smaller size and only comprise 17% of the total land area that is marketed on CPIX.

CPIX Vacant Land Utility Access

While most of the available properties posted to CPIX are serviced by utilities, the prevalence of water and sewage service access among vacant parcels was much lower than for developed parcels. Only 43% of the CPIX postings for vacant land are serviced by water and only 73% are serviced by sewage. Broadband for Business is largely accessible (85% of parcels have access).
Land Use

CPIX Analysis - May 2017, Continued

Industrial Sites

Even though only 13% of the listings on CPIX are industrial sites, industrial development serves as a major economic catalyst for Livingston County. Industrial properties range from less than an acre up to 60 acres, with an average acreage of 7.2. The majority of industrial sites are for sale, with only 41% for lease. Of the rental properties, about half have triple-net leases and the other half are either gross or modified gross leases. The average sale price for an industrial property is $57.39 per square foot or $151,000 per acre. The average square footage of an industrial building is 59,421 SF.

Average Sale Price
$57.39
Per Square Foot
(Building)

Average Sale Price
$151k
Per Acre
(Site)

Average Lease Price
$6.44
Per Square Foot annually

CPIX Industrial Sites Utility Access

Only about half of all available industrial sites on CPIX have access to water utilities (53%) and slightly more access sewage (63%). Most industrial sites on CPIX have access to Broadband for Business (82%).

CPIX Sites- Industrial

Community Boundaries

Source: CPIX
GIS: Livingston County Information Technology and GIS, Ann Arbor SPARK

53% Access Water Utilities
63% Access Sewage
82% Access Broadband Business

CPIX Sites- Industrial

Average acreage: 7.2 Acres
Median acreage: 3.5 Acres
Average ceiling height: 19.6 Feet
Average loading docks: 1.3 Docks

Number of industrial CPIX listings by acreage

Above 50 acres
5.1-10 Acres
Below 1 Acres
Utility access can serve as a catalyst to development. Without water, sewage, or Broadband, companies will often turn to a site that more easily accommodates their needs. The next sections of this report examine utility access within the county.

In Livingston County, only 367 of 1,017 Industrial Parcels (36%) and 1,361 of 2,221 Commercial Parcels (61%) are located within the Water Service Area.

1,631 of 1,993 Vacant Parcels (82%) in Livingston County are located outside of the Water Service Area. This finding supports the hypothesis that there is higher demand for real estate within the water service area than outside of it.

In Livingston County, only 568 of 1,017 Industrial Parcels (56%) and 1,714 of 2,221 Commercial Parcels (77%) are located within the Sewer Service Area.

1,458 of 1,993 Vacant Parcels (73%) are located outside of the Sewer Service Area. This finding supports the hypothesis that there is higher demand for real estate within the sewage service area than outside of it.

In Livingston County, only 678 of 1,017 Industrial Parcels (67%) and 1,689 of 2,221 Commercial Parcels (76%) are located within the Broadband Business Service Area.

1,038 of 1,993 Vacant Parcels (52%) are located outside of the Broadband Business Service Area.

A Census “Block” is considered to be serviced by Broadband for business if at least one parcel within the block has access. To see a map of Census blocks in Livingston County, please see the Appendix.

Data on utility access was provided by SEMCOG and created in 2005. Although the dataset included planned infrastructure through the year 2025, there may be some inconsistencies in the representation of the sewage and water area.
Land Use

Demand

The following sections of this report provide a better understanding of what brokers, site selectors, and developers look for when making a property selection, aggregating information from a variety of sources to understand needs of the major players in the market.

First, we include an analysis of site selector searches to understand the market for large job-creating projects. This analysis uses information from Requests for Proposals (RFPs) directed to the Michigan Economic Development Corporation.

Next, we include an analysis of all property searches using Zoom Prospector, an online property database. Since Zoom Prospector is a free tool that is available to a broad audience, this analysis helps to reveal demand based on a large cross-section of the market, including investors, site selectors, developers, and more.

Finally, a broker survey leverages the expert opinions of local real estate professionals to shed light on market demand. An analysis of the survey results is included.

Site Selector Searches

The Michigan Economic Development Corporation receives Requests for Proposals (RFP’s) from site selectors to provide assistance with the identification of viable sites for the development of large job-creating projects with substantial investment and a statewide impact. The following analysis uses data from these site selector requests to identify trends in demand.

Of the 213 Site Selector Searches since 2013, 41 were county-specific. Of the county-specific searches, only 1 was in Livingston County.

Of the 213 Site Selector Searches since 2013, 118 identified a facility type. The majority of these searches were for manufacturing facilities.
Land Use

Site Selector Searches, Continued

Of the 213 Site Selector Searches conducted since 2013, 88 identified specific transportation requirements. Trucking access was the most frequently identified transportation need, with 75% of the requests listing highway access as desirable or a necessity.

Average building minimum size: 95,378 SF
Median building minimum size: 42,500 SF
Average building maximum size: 182,960 SF
Median building maximum size: 70,000

Utilities

These requests include a variety of utility needs (electric, sewage, water). Sites that are shovel-ready and equipped with utilities are much more likely to attract large job-creating developments.

Zoom Prospector Searches

Ann Arbor SPARK and the Michigan Economic Development Corporation partner with a commercial real estate search database, Zoom Prospector, to promote available sites and buildings. All Zoom Prospector searches are tracked using Google Analytics. When the data is aggregated, these searches serve as an indicator of market demand. Below is a summary of what developers, business owners, site selectors, and more are looking for when they use the Zoom Prospector database.

Out of all of the land searches, industrial properties were the most frequently searched property type between 2013 and 2016.
Out of all of the building searches, industrial properties were the most frequently searched property type between 2013 and 2016.

Zoom Prospector searches were for a broad range of sizes, however, in both the minimum and maximum search filters, searches for sites exceeding 100 acres and buildings under 10,000 SF were the most prevalent.
**Land Use**

**Broker Survey**

Ann Arbor SPARK surveyed local brokers on the real estate market in Livingston County. Their expert opinion sheds light on what developers are looking for and how the local market serves them best. Five professionals representing firms that cover the Livingston County market answered the survey, the results are summarized below.

What counties in the southeast Michigan market are the most popular for industrial development? (Select top three)

- Jackson: 20%
- Ingham: 20%
- Macomb: 60%
- Wayne: 60%
- Oakland: 100%
- Livingston: 20%

What counties in the southeast Michigan market are the most popular for commercial development? (Select top three)

- Macomb: 40%
- Wayne: 60%
- Livingston: 0%
- Oakland: 100%
- Washtenaw: 60%

Approximately what percentage of your clients express interest in Livingston County?

Average response: **37.6%**

When a client is looking for a building with specific requirements in Livingston County and they are unable to find it, are they more likely to explore new construction or look to other counties?

100% of survey respondents said that the client would look outside of Livingston County if specifications could not be met locally.

Approximately what percentage of your clients express interest in new construction?

Average response: **24%**

What are the biggest barriers to development in Livingston County?

Lack of move-in ready buildings, lack of greenfield sites, **infrastructure problems**, lack of workforce, no industrial sites in the northeast part of the county.
Talent

Key Takeaways from the Livingston County Talent Study

The talent base in Livingston County is one composed primarily of individuals with at least a high school education, but less than a Bachelor’s degree, which presents a unique set of opportunities and challenges to employ its workforce. Indeed, there are a few key challenges (or gaps) that exist between the base explored in this study and the demand for talent identified by this analysis:

1. **High-skilled workers are currently underserved by the demand for jobs in Livingston county.** The Real-Time Analysis section demonstrates that with only one of the top ten occupations being high-skill, workers with a Bachelor’s degree and above are better served looking for employment outside of the county.

   Recommendation: Focus business attraction efforts on employers with high-wage/high-skill employment opportunities.

2. **We do not fully understand the progression of talent through high school and beyond.** As demonstrated in the High School Employment Tracking section of this analysis, all high schools in Livingston are relying singularly on self-reporting at graduation to identify next steps for their students. This results in these schools lacking a clear picture of whether or not they are effectively preparing their students for the jobs available in today’s economy.

   Recommendation: Follow-up with students after they graduate from high school (five or even 10 years down the road) to create a more complete snapshot of the effectiveness of secondary education in Livingston county.

3. **Half of the top ten advertised occupations in Livingston county are middle-skill.** The Real-Time Data Analysis section demonstrates that having at least a middle-skill education is a good indicator that an individual will be able to find work, since half of the available jobs in Livingston are middle-skill, with six of ten being middle-skill and above. In addition, both the Real Time Data Analysis and the High Ranking Occupations sections skills in the healthcare sector were identified as in-demand.

   Recommendation: Work with the Livingston Educational Services Agency to make sure that school curricula provide students with training to meet workforce demands.

   Collaborate with the growing healthcare sector to ensure local talent has access to new employment opportunities.
Talent

Educational Attainment

The Workforce Intelligence Network for Southeast Michigan (WIN) has partnered with Ann Arbor SPARK to study employment supply and demand in Livingston county in order to identify a potential talent gap. The study covers topics such as completion rates from training programs, educational attainment of the workforce, in-demand occupations, and wage rate analysis.

Livingston County, Michigan
Educational Attainment (Population 25+)

Compared to neighboring Oakland and Washtenaw Counties, Livingston County has a higher percentage of its 25+ population with a high school education, and some college or associate’s degrees. In Livingston county, just over one-third of the 25+ population (36.0%) has either some college or an associate’s degree, while approximately one-quarter of the 25+ population (25.6%) has a high school education. This amounts to 61.6% of the Livingston county population with at least a high school education but less than a bachelor’s degree, while both Oakland and Washtenaw counties see less than 50% of their populations with the same level of educational attainment. This presents unique challenges and opportunities to employ and supply talent in Livingston county compared to its neighbors.

Source: 2015 American Community Survey, 5 Year Estimates
Analysis: Workforce Intelligence Network
Compared to Washtenaw and Oakland Counties, Livingston County has a residential population that is employed in a broad range of industries. The industry with the most concentrated employment in Livingston County is the *Educational Services and Healthcare and Social Assistance* sector, but employment in this sector is considerably lower than in Oakland or Washtenaw Counties. The next leading sector by employment in Livingston County is *Manufacturing*, followed by *Retail Trade*. Together, these three sectors make up more than half (51.6%) of the employed civilian population that lives in Livingston County.
As with industry classifications, Livingston County residents are employed in a more diverse range of occupational classifications than in Oakland or Washtenaw Counties. The three categories that employ the largest number of Livingston County residents are considered to be “White Collar” occupations and are typically high-skilled. Surprisingly, even though nearly one in five (18.2%) residents are employed in Manufacturing, only 7.3% work in Production Occupations, indicating that residents who work in Manufacturing are employed in a variety of occupational classifications.
Talent

High School Employment Tracking

Tracking students post-high school graduation provides information on whether the developing population base of an area is prepared for the unique needs of the labor force in their region. It also can help provide insight as to how well the secondary educational system is performing in developing its students for success: What kinds of jobs do children have after high school? Do they make a living wage? How many pursue additional education or training?

- Livingston County has six high schools, five of which are public and one charter school. These include Brighton High School, Hartland High School, Howell High School, Kensington Woods Schools, Fowlerville High School and Pinckney Community High School.

- In the qualitative analysis that WIN conducted to determine if student tracking occurs post-high school, only two schools responded to say that tracking is accomplished through self-reporting by graduating seniors on immediate plans after graduation.

Training Providers

When looking at the tri-county region (Oakland, Washtenaw and Livingston), Livingston County residents have 33 schools with many different occupational programs from which to choose. Graduation rates, industries, school size and distance learning availability vary widely between programs. The most popular career tracks are those in the medical and therapeutic field, business administration, and skilled trades. In particular, skilled trades tend to have plentiful openings in the region, providing an optimistic growth outlook for Livingston County residents.

Institutions focused on specific certificate programs lasting under one year, such as those at the Ross Medical Education Centers and Dorsey Business Schools, had completion rates over 75%. Completion rates were considered for 150% of normal time for the program of study based on the requirements to complete the degree from the institution’s catalog. Community colleges on the other hand, offer long-term schedule flexibility and the ability to take relevant coursework without entering a degree path, which may appeal to part-time and nontraditional students. They tend to have lower completion rates near 15% for this time frame. Other institutions fall in between.

Median earnings for each program reflect the wide variety of industries, training costs, and specialization represented. Cosmetology occupations, precision metal working, and medical and administrative assistants receive relatively low wages, possibly reflecting their short length of study. However, median earnings for information systems, accounting, more technical medical professions such as Registered Nurses, and mechanical and drafting occupations may be over $30 hourly.

For a detailed breakdown of training program completions in the three-county region, please see Appendix.
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**Inflow/ Outflow**

In 2014, Livingston County’s workforce consisted of 77,716 residents. 19,600 (25.2%) of the residents lived and worked within Livingston County, while the remaining 58,116 residents (74.8%) traveled outside of the County for work. Since 2011, the number of residents that traveled outside of the County for work increased by 3,252 (5.9%) individuals from 54,864 to 58,116.

Livingston County residents were more mobile in 2014 than in 2011. In 2014, 42.1% of the County’s workforce (34,418 individuals) traveled more than 25 miles to their place of employment compared to 2011 when 41.2% (32,575 individuals) traveled more than 25 miles. Oakland County was the most popular destination outside of Washtenaw County for residents to find employment. 17,744 residents (22.8% of the County’s workforce) traveled to Oakland County for employment in 2014, followed by 13,234 residents (17.0% of the County’s workforce) that traveled to Washtenaw County.

Livingston County is a commuting community. In 2014, 50,964 workers were employed in Livingston County. 31,364 (61.5%) of those workers were employed in Livingston, but lived outside of its borders. Of those that traveled to Livingston County for work, 9,404 (30.0%) of the workers were 29 or younger. While Genesee County employers were able to attract a sizable amount of young workers in 2014, 16,664 (53.1%) of the internal jobs were filled by workers between the ages of 30 and 54. This indicates a desire for experienced workers in Livingston County.

Unlike the outflow of Livingston County residents, the great majority of workers that travel to Livingston reside in either Oakland or Wayne Counties. 7,222 (14.2%) of Livingston County workers travel in from Oakland County followed by 5,766 (11.3%) that travel in from Wayne County. 20,853 (40.9%) of the 50,964 workers in Livingston County traveled more than 25 miles to their place of employment in 2014.
Another key to understanding the full picture of the talent gap in Livingston County is real-time analysis. This type of data provides insight into where occupational advertisements are concentrated, and thus, what types of employment needs are not being fulfilled currently. Listed below are the top occupations by advertisements for the calendar year 2016. Noteworthy is the lack of overlap with the emerging in-demand occupations above, only one occupation overlaps (Registered Nurses), perhaps indicating that the jobs being currently advertised for at high rates reflect more temporary needs of the Livingston area.

Five of ten of these occupations are also considered middle-skill; these include Sales Representatives, Customer Service Representatives, Maintenance and Repair Workers, Production Workers and Heavy and Tractor-Trailer Truck Drivers. One occupation (Registered Nurses) is considered high-skill.
Livingston County, MI
In-Demand Occupations by Ads, 2016

- Retail Salespersons: 556
- Heavy and Tractor-Trailer Truck Drivers*: 499
- First-Line Supervisors of Retail Sales Workers: 344
- Sales Representatives, Wholesale and Manufacturing, Except Technical and…: 293
- Laborers and Freight, Stock, and Material Movers, Hand: 279
- Registered Nurses**: 265
- Customer Service Representatives*: 249
- Production Workers, All Other*: 218
- Maintenance and Repair Workers, General*: 216
- First-Line Supervisors of Food Preparation and Serving Workers: 189

Source: Labor Insight™ Burning Glass
Analysis: Workforce Intelligence Network
Note: * are Middle-Skill Occupations; ** is High-Skill Occupation

For these occupations, analyzing the difference between advertised salaries in job postings and the reported wages of currently-employed workers in the same occupations can provide insight into why they have such a high volume of advertisements, i.e., do they go unfilled because advertised salaries are lower than workers expect? As can be seen from the following graph, with the exception for First-Line Supervisors of Food Preparation and Serving Workers (where real-time salary ad data was unavailable) and Production Workers the real time average salary nearly matched or exceeded the reported average annual salary for each occupation.
Livingston County, MI
2016 Real Time Salary v. Reported Percentile Salaries

Source: Labor Insight™ Burning Glass, EMSI 2017.2; QCEW
Analysis: Workforce Intelligence Network
Talent

High Ranking Occupations

To best understand the emerging in-demand occupations in the Livingston County market, analysis was completed on both middle-skill and high-skill occupations. Middle-skill occupations are those occupations with more than a high school diploma (such as training or apprenticeships), but less than a bachelor’s degree. Many skilled trades and advanced manufacturing positions fall into this designation. High-skill occupations are those occupations that require at least a bachelor’s degree. While the population aged 25+ in Livingston county with this level of education is smaller, both Oakland and Washtenaw have higher bases in this regard, indicating an analysis of these occupations provides insight into how to best attract and develop talent from neighboring counties.

To develop a list of occupations for both educational designations, the above education filters were applied. Then, to make sure only high wage and high demand occupations were analyzed, occupations with less than the median wage of Livingston County ($18.59) were excluded, along with occupations that had negative growth over the next ten years. Thus, the following lists reflect the top ten occupations, based on 2016 employment, in both middle-skill and high-skill educational categories. An individualized break down of the demographics of each of the above middle-skill and high-skill occupations can be found in the Appendix.

### High-Skill Occupations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>Median Annual Earnings</th>
<th>2016 Jobs</th>
<th>2026 Jobs</th>
<th>Percent Change</th>
<th>Annual Opening s</th>
<th>Typical Entry Level Education</th>
<th>Typical On-The-Job Training</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accountants and Auditors</td>
<td>$63,253</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td></td>
<td>20 Bachelor's degree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Operations Specialists, All Other</td>
<td>$59,010</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td></td>
<td>13 Bachelor's degree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Systems Analysts</td>
<td>$77,230</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td></td>
<td>8 Bachelor's degree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General and Operations Managers</td>
<td>$90,230</td>
<td>968</td>
<td>1,180</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td></td>
<td>49 Bachelor's degree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial Engineers</td>
<td>$84,074</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td></td>
<td>24 Bachelor's degree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Analysts</td>
<td>$79,643</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td></td>
<td>23 Bachelor's degree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market Research Analysts and Marketing Specialists</td>
<td>$50,710</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td></td>
<td>12 Bachelor's degree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical Engineers</td>
<td>$81,765</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>571</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td></td>
<td>23 Bachelor's degree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Therapists</td>
<td>$83,554</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td></td>
<td>19 Doctoral or professional degree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registered Nurses</td>
<td>$64,709</td>
<td>584</td>
<td>828</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td></td>
<td>42 Bachelor's degree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Middle-Skill Occupations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>Median Annual Earnings</th>
<th>2016 Jobs</th>
<th>2026 Jobs</th>
<th>Percent Change</th>
<th>Annual Openings</th>
<th>Typical Entry Level Education</th>
<th>Typical On-The-Job Training</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Automotive Service Technicians and Mechanics</td>
<td>$42,411</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Postsecondary non-degree award</td>
<td>Short-term on-the-job training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpenters</td>
<td>$42,473</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>High school diploma or equivalent</td>
<td>Apprenticeship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dental Hygienists</td>
<td>$57,304</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Associate’s degree</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricians</td>
<td>$58,947</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>High school diploma or equivalent</td>
<td>Apprenticeship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial Machinery Mechanics</td>
<td>$47,008</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>High school diploma or equivalent</td>
<td>Long-term on-the-job training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plumbers, Pipefitters, and Steamfitters</td>
<td>$63,939</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>High school diploma or equivalent</td>
<td>Apprenticeship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police and Sheriff’s Patrol Officers</td>
<td>$57,949</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>High school diploma or equivalent</td>
<td>Moderate-term on-the-job training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales Representatives, Services, All Other</td>
<td>$44,741</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>High school diploma or equivalent</td>
<td>Moderate-term on-the-job training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales Representatives, Except Technical and Scientific Products</td>
<td>$54,538</td>
<td>844</td>
<td>1,038</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>High school diploma or equivalent</td>
<td>Moderate-term on-the-job training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tool and Die Makers</td>
<td>$43,909</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>High school diploma or equivalent</td>
<td>Long-term on-the-job training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: ESMI 2017.2; QCEW
Analysis: Workforce Intelligence Network
Note: This list reflects the top ten occupations with the highest 2016 employment, with more than a high school education and less than a bachelor’s degree, after occupations with less than the median wage ($18.59) and negative growth over the next ten years were removed.
Benchmarking

Key Takeaways from the Livingston County Benchmarking Study

1. When compared to nearby counties in Michigan, Livingston County is in the middle of the pack when it comes to educational attainment, but when we look at our national competitive set, we rank last. This trend means that the community needs to attract more high skilled people and jobs to the area.

Recommendation: Focus business expansion and attraction efforts on companies with high-wage/high-skill positions that will bring new high-skill employees to the County. Promote training funding to current residents who might want to increase their skillset.

2. We need more people who want to work in our community to be successful with talent connections. Livingston’s labor force participation rate is 7th out of 8th in our national set, meaning that a high percentage of Livingston residents are indicating that they want to work in other markets.

Recommendation: A large percentage of retirees live in Livingston County and are unlikely to re-enter the labor force. In order to increase labor force participation, municipalities in Livingston County should provide opportunities for young professionals to live, work, and play. Business attraction efforts should target companies that employ a large percentage of young professionals.

3. There are disparities between the County’s worker population and residential population. Livingston County’s wages are last when compared to nearby counties in Michigan and 5th out of 8 when compared to our national set, despite the fact that Livingston County has the highest median household income in Michigan. This speaks to Livingston as a bedroom community – people can find homes in Livingston and work elsewhere to earn higher wages.

Recommendation: Access to different housing types and public transportation play a role in Livingston County’s ability to sustain its existing workforce. Municipalities could prioritize making housing available at a variety of price points.

Companies should look to Community Ventures and State-funded programs as potential transportation funding options. Ann Arbor SPARK’s business retention and growth program should continue to publicize these funding sources and encourage businesses to take advantage of them.
Benchmarking

Introduction

This section of the study compares Livingston County’s talent market to those in regionally and nationally competitive counties. A series of metrics were applied to two different sets of comparable communities. The first set includes neighboring communities, and the second set includes a national set of communities with attributes similar to Livingston County. The selection methodology for the national set of communities was based on median household income, average commute time, and population density.

The metrics selected for the benchmarking study fall under two categories: Talent and land use. These data, in concert with the land use and talent gap analyses, provide a deeper understanding of Livingston County’s developmental strengths and challenges.

Metrics

Population, 2015 American Community Survey
Area, 2010 Decennial Census

Land Use
Median House Value, 2015 American Community Survey
Tax Base (Regional comps only), 2016 County Equalization Reports
Commercial Listings (Regional comps only), CPIX

Talent
Median Household Income, 2015 American Community Survey
Educational Attainment, 2015 American Community Survey
Employment, 2016 Bureau for Labor Statistics
Manufacturing Industry, 2015 American Community Survey
Wage, 2015 Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages
Employees per Business, 2014 Census County Business Patterns
Population Change, 2016 Census Population Estimates
Housing Affordability, 2015 American Community Survey
Benchmarking Communities

Regional Set
Genesee County, MI
Ingham County, MI
Ottawa County, MI
Macomb County, MI
Oakland County, MI
Washtenaw County, MI
Wayne County, MI

National Set
Shelby County, AL
Litchfield County, CT
Hendricks County, IN
Carroll County, MD
Saratoga County, NY
Warren County, OH
Ozaukee County, WI

Why were these communities selected?

Communities selected for the national set are similar in character as Livingston County. These communities were selected using three metrics that are intrinsic to Livingston County’s identity: Population density, average commute time, and median household income.
Benchmarking

How to Read the Study

Each page represents a single metric or a family of metrics.

Each page also contains a quick reference box spotlighting Livingston County’s performance:

Livingston County’s rank among the chosen competitor regions, with #1 being top performance in the category. Some metrics do not include data for all regions, so the lowest rank may change accordingly.
Benchmarking

Setting The Stage

Population (Regional Set)

The communities included in the regional set were chosen due to their proximity to Livingston County. While there are many similarities between these communities and Livingston County, it is important to keep in mind that Wayne County, for example, has a population ten times larger than Livingston County. Where possible, data have been normalized for population. However, since this is not always feasible, these population charts serve as helpful reference points.

### Population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wayne</td>
<td>1,759,335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakland</td>
<td>1,242,304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macomb</td>
<td>864,840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genesee</td>
<td>410,849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washtenaw</td>
<td>358,880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingham</td>
<td>286,085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ottawa</td>
<td>279,955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livingston</td>
<td>187,316</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Population Density

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Density</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wayne</td>
<td>2,874.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macomb</td>
<td>1,804.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakland</td>
<td>1,431.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genesee</td>
<td>645.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingham</td>
<td>514.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washtenaw</td>
<td>508.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ottawa</td>
<td>496.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livingston</td>
<td>331.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Setting the Stage

**Population (National Set)**

The communities selected in the national set were chosen due to their performance in three separate metrics: Population density, commute time, and income. As a result, there is much less variation in population and population density among the national set of comps than the regional set. Regardless, these population charts serve as helpful reference points when data is not normalized for population.

**Population**

- Saratoga, NY: 226,249
- Warren, OH: 224,469
- Shelby, AL: 208,713
- Livingston, MI: 187,316
- Litchfield, CT: 183,603
- Carroll, MD: 167,627
- Hendricks, IN: 158,192
- Ozaukee, WI: 87,850

**Population Density**

- Warren, OH: 559.34
- Hendricks, IN: 388.76
- Ozaukee, WI: 376.91
- Carroll, MD: 374.51
- Livingston, MI: 331.38
- Saratoga, NY: 279.33
- Shelby, AL: 265.9
- Litchfield, CT: 199.45
Setting the Stage

Land Area

In addition to population, land area is another metric that impacts trends and results for different communities. For example, Oakland County is more than 300 square miles larger than Livingston County, which is over twice the size of Detroit, or ten times the size of Ann Arbor.

### Area (Sq. Miles) Regional Set

- **Oakland**: 868
- **Washtenaw**: 706
- **Genesee**: 637
- **Wayne**: 612
- **Livingston**: 565
- **Ottawa**: 563
- **Ingham**: 556
- **Macomb**: 479

### Area (Sq. Miles) National Set

- **Litchfield, CT**: 920.6
- **Saratoga, NY**: 810.0
- **Shelby, AL**: 784.9
- **Livingston, MI**: 565.3
- **Carroll, MD**: 447.6
- **Hendricks, IN**: 406.9
- **Warren, OH**: 401.3
- **Ozaukee, WI**: 233.1
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Metrics and Rankings
Benchmarking
Median House Value
Livingston County: $224,300

What it is:
The median value for all owner-occupied housing units in Livingston County.

Why it matters:
This metric serves as a helpful indicator of the real estate market overall: As prices change in the residential market, similar trends are often apparent across all property types.

The trend: Livingston County has experienced growth in median house value at a higher rate than Michigan or the United States.

Regional
Rank: 2nd of 8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Median House Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Washtenaw</td>
<td>$234,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livingston</td>
<td>$224,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakland</td>
<td>$217,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ottawa</td>
<td>$165,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macomb</td>
<td>$149,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingham</td>
<td>$124,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genesee</td>
<td>$99,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne</td>
<td>$92,400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

National
Rank: 5th of 8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Median House Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carroll, MD</td>
<td>$330,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozaukee, WI</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litchfield, CT</td>
<td>$248,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saratoga, NY</td>
<td>$241,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livingston, MI</td>
<td>$224,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warren, OH</td>
<td>$206,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shelby, AL</td>
<td>$197,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hendricks, IN</td>
<td>$169,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Benchmarking**

*State Equalized Value*

**Livingston County: $9,906,056,059, 22.27% Increase**

*What it is:*
The State Equalized Value (SEV) is the total assessed value for all personal and real property, adjusted following County and State equalization.

*Why it matters:*
State, County, and Municipal assessors total real and personal property value in order to calculate the annual tax levy; the SEV is therefore an indicator of municipal revenue. In addition, SEV is a helpful measure of the health of the local market.

*The trend:* Livingston County’s total SEV has increased by 22% since 2013 and by 7% in the last year alone. As the County is poised for growth, this trend is expected to continue.
Benchmarking

Commercial Property Listings
Livingston County: 345 Listings on CPIX

What it is:
The number of commercial property listings posted on CPIX on June 14, 2017.

Why it matters:
The number of commercial property listings is an indicator of the current real property supply.

The trend: Livingston County has the lowest number of commercial listings out of the regional set of counties. Without a large and diverse range of properties on the market, Livingston County may miss out on business attraction opportunities.

Regional Rank: 8th of 8

Total Number of Property Listings
Regional Set

- Oakland: 3,335
- Wayne: 1,769
- Macomb: 1,228
- Ingham: 766
- Washtenaw: 660
- Ottawa: 582
- Genesee: 480
- Livingston: 345
**Benchmarking**

**Median Household Income**

Livingston County: $76,455

*What it is:*  
The median income for all households.

*The trend:* Livingston County has the highest median household income in Michigan. The median household income has stayed consistently over $10,000 higher than that of the state and the country since 2011. Median household income has only slightly increased since 2011, consistent with inflation rates.

**Regional Rank:** 1st of 8

**Median Household Income**

**Regional Set**

- Livingston: $76,455
- Oakland: $69,998
- Washtenaw: $61,977
- Ottawa: $61,012
- Macomb: $54,640
- Ingham: $47,082
- Genesee: $44,025
- Wayne: $41,557

**National Set**

- Carroll, MD: $84,887
- Warren, OH: $81,017
- Ozaukee, WI: $79,048
- Livingston, MI: $76,455
- Saratoga, NY: $75,761
- Hendricks, IN: $73,050
- Litchfield, Ct: $70,667
- Shelby, Al: $70,192

**Note:** Median household income was one of the metrics used to select the national set, as a result, there is not substantial variation. For this reason, rankings were not assigned for median household income from the national set.
Benchmarking
Educational Attainment
Livingston County: 32% with a Bachelor’s Degree or Higher

What it is:
The percent of the population, 25 years and over, with a Bachelor’s Degree or higher.

Why it matters:
Educational attainment is the best indicator of the skill level of the local workforce. High educational attainment metrics are desirable for business attraction.

The trend: A larger percentage of Livingston County’s population has a Bachelor’s Degree or higher than Michigan or the United States.

Regional
Rank: 4th of 8

Percent of Population 25 Years and Over with a Bachelor's Degree or Higher
Regional Set

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Livingston</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingham</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakland</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livingston</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macomb</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genesee</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

National
Rank: 8th of 8

Percent of Population 25 Years and Over with a Bachelor's Degree or Higher
National Set

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ozaukee, WI</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warren, OH</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shelby, AL</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saratoga, NY</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hendricks, IN</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carroll, MD</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litchfield, CT</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livingston, MI</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Benchmarking

Employment

Livingston County: 65.5% LFPR, 4.5% Unemployment

What it is:
The national unemployment rate reflects the number of unemployed people as a percentage of the labor force. The labor force participation rate measures the number of people in the labor force as a percentage of the civilian noninstitutionalized population 16 years old and over. In other words, it is the percentage of the population either working or actively seeking work.

The trend: The unemployment rate has been steadily decreasing, as has the labor force participation rate, even though these two statistics are usually inversely proportional. Policy solutions could include working to attract new labor and/or engaging labor that has self-selected out of the workforce.

Regional

Rank: 2nd of 8 (Unemployment)
3rd of 8 (LFPR)

2015 Labor Force Participation and Unemployment
Regional Set

National

Rank: 5th of 8 (Unemployment)
7th of 8 (LFPR)

2015 Labor Force Participation and Unemployment
National Set
**Benchmarking**

**Manufacturing**

**Livingston County:** 17.6%, $62,560

*What it is:* The percent employed in manufacturing is the percentage of the total workforce that is employed in the manufacturing industry. The Average Annual Wage for Manufacturing is the amount of income that an individual worker in the manufacturing industry earns on average.

*The trend:* Although manufacturing wages have increased in Livingston County, they remain lower than Michigan and the U.S. on average. Employment in manufacturing has increased since 2012.

**Regional**

**Rank:** 3rd of 8 (% manufacturing)

7th of 8 (manufacturing wage)

**National**

**Rank:** 2nd of 8 (% Manufacturing)

2nd of 8 (Manufacturing Wage)

**Manufacturing Employment and Wages**

**Regional Set**

**National Set**
Benchmarking

Wages
Livingston County: $40,986

**What it is:**
The annual average wage for all industries in Livingston County.

**Why it matters:**
Competitive wages are necessary to attract and retain a talented workforce.

**The trend:** Livingston County has consistently had a lower Annual Average Wage than the entire state or country. Livingston County had the lowest wage among the regional set and the third lowest wage among the national set. This was in part due to the county’s reliance on the retail sector.

### Regional

**Rank:** 8th of 8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Average Annual Wage (All Industries)</th>
<th>$0</th>
<th>$15,000</th>
<th>$30,000</th>
<th>$45,000</th>
<th>$60,000</th>
<th>$75,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oakland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$58,795</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$58,093</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washtenaw</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$52,666</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macomb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$51,245</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingham</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$44,714</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ottawa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$43,663</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genesee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$42,736</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livingston</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$40,986</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### National

**Rank:** 5th of 8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Average Annual Wage (All Industries)</th>
<th>$0</th>
<th>$15,000</th>
<th>$30,000</th>
<th>$45,000</th>
<th>$60,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shelby, AL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$50,654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saratoga, NY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$47,716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warren, OH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$45,960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozaueke, WI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$44,208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litchfield, CT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$43,369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livingston, MI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$40,986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carroll, MD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$40,688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hendricks, IN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$33,698</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Average Annual Wage**

- Livingston: $40,986
- Michigan: $42,736
- US: $51,245

**Annual Average Wage**

- Livingston: $40,986
- Michigan: $42,736
- US: $51,245
Benchmarking

Employees Per Business

Livingston County: 12

What it is:
The average number of employees at each business.

Why it matters:
A low average number of employees per business indicates that there is a large number of small businesses and sole proprietors.

The trend: Livingston County has the lowest average number of employees per business among the regional set and the second lowest average number of employees per business among the national set, with 56.8% of jobs at companies with 1 to 4 employees.

Regional
Rank: 8th of 8 Employees per Business

National
Rank: 7th of 8 Employees per Business

Wayne 19.0
Washtenaw 17.7
Ottawa 17.5
Ingham 17.2
Oakland 17.1
Macomb 15.3
Genesee 15.0
Livingston 11.7

Warren, OH 18.5
Hendricks, IN 17.4
Shelby, AL 16.2
Ozaukee, WI 13.9
Saratoga, NY 13.1
Carroll, MD 11.8
Livingston, MI 11.7
Litchfield, CT 10.9
What it is:
The population change estimates are taken from the Census Population estimates, which use data on births, deaths, and migration to total population change. Natural increase is the total population change as a result of deaths and births, net migration is the total population change as a result of people moving in or out.

Why it matters:
Employers in Livingston County face major challenges when it comes to hiring the talent that they need. Sustaining a growing population and positive net migration is critical to meeting the talent needs of local employers.

The trend: Livingston County has experienced population growth since 2012, and more than half of the growth was attributed to Net Migration.
**Benchmarking**

**Housing Affordability**

Livingston County: 2.9

*What it is:*  
The Housing Affordability Index is the ratio of median household income to median house value. *(i.e.: Median house value is X times median household income)*

*Why it matters:*  
Housing affordability is desirable to accommodate a workforce with a diverse range of skill sets and income levels.

*The trend:* Livingston County has become increasingly less affordable since 2011. Although Livingston County has a higher Housing Affordability Index than the State of Michigan, it has a considerably lower Housing Affordability Index than the country.

Regional  
Rank: 6<sup>th</sup> of 8

National  
Rank: 4<sup>th</sup> of 8
### Benchmarking

#### Final Rankings - Regional Set

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Genesee</strong></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ingham</strong></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Livingston</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Macomb</strong></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oakland</strong></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ottawa</strong></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Washtenaw</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wayne</strong></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Benchmarking

### Final Rankings - National Set

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shelby, AL</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litchfield, CT</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hendricks, IN</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carroll, MD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saratoga, NY</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warren, OH</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozaukee, WI</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livingston, MI</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusion
Livingston County Economic Action Plan

The intent of this economic study is to reveal areas of strength and weakness to stakeholders in the Livingston County economic development community so that we might work together to help the county’s economy grow and thrive. While many of the results of the report are expected, and merely back up anecdotal truths that are generally accepted with data, some interesting areas of weakness and opportunity came to the surface. The recommendations included in this report reveal some areas of improvement upon which municipal, business, and community leaders could act quickly, such as updating zoning codes, or publicizing middle-skill jobs in a favorable light. The gap between available high-skilled talent and high-skill jobs suggests that our local economic development efforts should continue to focus on attracting employers offering these sorts of positions. Overall, Livingston County is geographically situated for success, and on average its residents are financially situated for prosperity. These factors position economic development and business leaders to work creatively and collaboratively to turn existing gaps into opportunities for future development that will help Livingston County’s economy continue to grow and thrive in new and expanded ways.
Appendix
Livingston County by Census Blocks

*Data retrieved from the US Census Bureau
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### Property Classification Analysis

#### State Equalized Value by Property Classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Classification</th>
<th>Taxable Value</th>
<th>Percent of Total Taxable Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural</td>
<td>$260,703,229.00</td>
<td>2.62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>$1,006,855,056.00</td>
<td>10.13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>$268,925,528.00</td>
<td>2.71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>$8,393,949,673.00</td>
<td>84.49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developmental</td>
<td>$4,939,200.00</td>
<td>0.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total County</strong></td>
<td><strong>$9,935,372,686.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Land Area by Property Classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Classification</th>
<th>Total Area (Acres)</th>
<th>Percent of Total Land Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural</td>
<td>94,946</td>
<td>25.40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>13,551</td>
<td>3.62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>10,425</td>
<td>2.79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>195,018</td>
<td>52.17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exempt</td>
<td>24,053</td>
<td>6.43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>35,831</td>
<td>9.58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total County</strong></td>
<td><strong>373,824</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### State Equalized Value per Acre by Property Classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Classification</th>
<th>Taxable Value per Acre</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural</td>
<td>$2,745.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>$74,301.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>$25,796.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>$43,041.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Livingston County GIS and Information Technology, Livingston County Equalization Reports
Analysis: Ann Arbor SPARK
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Property Classification Analysis
Percent of Total Real Assessed Property Value by Type

Conway Twp
- Agricultural: 24%
- Residential: 76%

Cohoctah Twp
- Agricultural: 16%
- Commercial: 1%
- Industrial: 1%
- Residential: 82%

Deerfield Twp
- Agricultural: 13%
- Commercial: 1%
- Industrial: 1%
- Residential: 85%

Tyrone Twp
- Agricultural: 6%
- Commercial: 17%
- Industrial: 8%
- Residential: 69%

Handy Twp
- Agricultural: 8%
- Commercial: 14%
- Industrial: 10%
- Residential: 68%

Howell Twp
- Agricultural: 6%
- Commercial: 17%
- Industrial: 8%
- Residential: 69%

Source: Livingston County Equalization Reports
Analysis: Ann Arbor SPARK
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Property Classification Analysis
Percent of Total Real Assessed Property Value by Type

Oceola Twp
- Agricultural: 3%
- Commercial: 5%
- Residential: 92%

Hartland Twp
- Agricultural: 2%
- Commercial: 13%
- Industrial: 1%
- Residential: 84%

Marion Twp
- Agricultural: 4%
- Commercial: 1%
- Residential: 92%

Iosco Twp
- Agricultural: 16%
- Residential: 84%

Genoa Twp
- Agricultural: 1%
- Commercial: 16%
- Industrial: 2%

Brighton Twp
- Commercial: 9%
- Industrial: 3%
- Residential: 88%

Source: Livingston County Equalization Reports
Analysis: Ann Arbor SPARK
Appendix

Property Classification Analysis

Percent of Total State Equalized Value by Type (Real Property Only)

Source: Livingston County Equalization Reports
Analysis: Ann Arbor SPARK
Appendix
State Equalized Value Detailed Breakdown

Washtenaw
- Residential: 74%
- Commercial: 21%
- Industrial: 2%
- Agricultural: 3%

Wayne
- Residential: 73%
- Commercial: 20%
- Industrial: 7%
- Agricultural: 0%

Macomb
- Residential: 78%
- Commercial: 15%
- Industrial: 6%
- Agricultural: 1%

Ingham
- Residential: 68%
- Commercial: 25%
- Agricultural: 5%
- Industrial: 2%

Ottawa
- Residential: 77%
- Commercial: 11%
- Agricultural: 5%
- Industrial: 7%

Genesee
- Residential: 75%
- Commercial: 20%
- Agricultural: 2%
- Industrial: 3%

Oakland
- Residential: 81%
- Commercial: 16%
- Agricultural: 0%
- Industrial: 3%

Livingston
- Residential: 85%
- Commercial: 10%
- Agricultural: 2%
- Industrial: 3%
Appendix

Completions vs. Job Growth

Over the last five years, each of the 10 middle-skill occupations have seen positive growth numbers, with two, Police and Patrol Officers and Carpenters, actually seeing an above 50% expansion rate. Many of these occupations also saw high numbers of regional completions (Washtenaw, Oakland and Livingston counties) in 2015. In a match of skills to a growing need in the region, the most completions were seen in police and law enforcement programs, as well as sales training. Occupations that have seen high growth over the last five years, but are still lacking in regional completers include several Skilled Trades – Electricians, Carpenters, Tool and Die Makers, Plumbers, Pipefitters and Steamfitters and Industrial Machinery Mechanics.

The graphs below reflect growth over the last five years on the left, and regional completions in 2015 on the right. The regional area was used, as opposed to the singular Livingston county, due to the almost negligible number of completers in Livingston alone.

Source: EMSI 2017.2; QCEW
Analysis: Workforce Intelligence Network
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**Completions from Livingston County Post-Secondary Education and Training Institutions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institutions in three County Region Offering Degrees</th>
<th>Bachelor’s Degrees</th>
<th>Graduate Degrees (Masters or Doctoral)</th>
<th>Post Baccalaureate or Post Masters Certificate</th>
<th>Total Completions (2015)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>University of Michigan</td>
<td>8,097</td>
<td>5,908</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>14,186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Michigan University</td>
<td>3,625</td>
<td>1,212</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>5,063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakland University</td>
<td>2,828</td>
<td>935</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>3,826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walsh College</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleary University</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cranbrook Academy</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan School of Professional Psychology</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan Jewish Institute</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yeshiva Gedolah of Greater Detroit</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix

### Completions from Livingston County Post-Secondary Education and Training Institutions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institutions in three County Region Offering Degrees</th>
<th>Certificates</th>
<th>Associate’s Degrees</th>
<th>Bachelor’s Degrees</th>
<th>Total Completions (2015)</th>
<th>Completion Rate from IPEDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oakland Community College</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>2,509</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,954</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washtenaw Community College</td>
<td>1,622</td>
<td>1,137</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,759</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawrence Technological University</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>663</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specs Howard School of Media Arts</td>
<td>573</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>573</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Everest Institute-Southfield</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Phoenix-Michigan</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rochester College</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan College of Beauty-Troy</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwestern Technological Institute</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Completions from Livingston County Post-Secondary Education and Training Institutions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institutions in three County Region Offering Degrees</th>
<th>Certificates</th>
<th>Associate’s Degrees</th>
<th>Bachelor’s Degrees</th>
<th>Total Completions (2015)</th>
<th>Completion Rate from IPEDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Art Institute of Michigan</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ross Medical Education Center-Madison Heights</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITT Technical Institute-Troy</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irene's Myomassology Institute</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carnegie Institute</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsey Business Schools-Madison Heights</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsey Business Schools-Waterford Pontiac</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South University-Novi</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ross Medical Education Center-Brighton</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Completions from Livingston County Post-Secondary Education and Training Institutions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institutions in three County Region Offering Degrees</th>
<th>Certificates</th>
<th>Associate’s Degrees</th>
<th>Bachelor’s Degrees</th>
<th>Total Completions (2015)</th>
<th>Completion Rate from IPEDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abcott Institute</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brighton Institute of Cosmetology</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excel Academies of Cosmetology-Troy</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port Huron Cosmetology College</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITT Technical Institute-Southfield</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concordia University-Ann Arbor</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ross Medical Education Center-Ann Arbor</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Pressley School of Cosmetology</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Academy of Design and Technology-Troy</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Completions from Livingston County Post-Secondary Education and Training Institutions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institutions in three County Region Offering Degrees</th>
<th>Certificates</th>
<th>Associate’s Degrees</th>
<th>Bachelor’s Degrees</th>
<th>Total Completions (2015)</th>
<th>Completion Rate from IPEDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ann Arbor Institute of Massage Therapy</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DeVry University-Michigan</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsey Business Schools-Farmington Hills</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketti Academy of Cosmetology</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: EMSI 2017.2; QCEW; IPEDS  
Analysis: Workforce Intelligence Network  
Note: Those listed as N/A did not have an available completion rate from IPEDS
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Middle-Skill Workforce Demographics

Livingston County, Michigan
Middle-Skill Occupations, Race

Source: EMSI 2017.2; QCEW
Analysis: Workforce Intelligence Network

Livingston County, MI
Middle-Skill Occupations, Gender

Source: EMSI 2017.2; QCEW
Analysis: Workforce Intelligence Network
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